arXiv:0903.5294v3 [math.PR] 30 Sep 2009

Approximation of Stable-dominated Semigroups

Paweł Sztonyk

September 30, 2009

Abstract

We consider Feller semigroups with jump intensity dominated by that of the rotation invariant stable Lévy process. Using an approximation scheme we obtain estimates of corresponding heat kernels.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $p(t,x,y)$ be the transition density of the rotation invariant α–stable Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the Lévy measure

$$\nu(dy) = \frac{dy}{|y|^{\alpha+d}}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}. \tag{1}$$

Here $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $d = 1, 2, \ldots$ It is well-known (see, e.g., [1]) that

$$p(t,x,y) \approx \min\left(t^{-d/\alpha}, \frac{t}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}\right), \quad t > 0,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

---

[0] Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wrocław University of Technology, Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland.
e-mail: Pawel.Sztonyk@pwr.wroc.pl

[0]2000 *MS Classification*: Primary 60J75, 60J35; Secondary 47D03.
Key words and phrases: Feller semigroup, heat kernel, transition density, stable - dominated semigroup

The upper bound $p(t,x,y) \le c \min\{t^{-d/\alpha}, t|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}\}$, holds for every symmetric stable Lévy process whose Lévy measure is bounded above by a constant multiple of ν (see [9]). More diverse asymptotic results for stable Lévy processes were given in papers [24] and [3]. In particular if for some $\gamma \in [1,d]$ the Lévy measure $\bar{\nu}$ of the stable process satisfies

$$\bar{\nu}(B(x,r)) \le cr^{\gamma} \quad \text{for all} \quad |x| = 1,\, r \le 1/2,$$

then we have

$$\bar{p}(t,x,y) \le c \min\left(t^{-d/\alpha}, t^{1+\frac{\gamma-d}{\alpha}}|y-x|^{-\alpha-\gamma}\right), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\bar{p}$ denotes the corresponding transition density.

Similar results hold for other Lévy and Markov processes. Estimates of transition densities for tempered stable processes are given in [22]. The case of stable–like and mixed type Markov processes was investigated in [5] and [6] by Z.-Q. Chen and T. Kumagai. K. Bogdan and T. Jakubowski in [2] obtained estimates of heat kernels of the fractional Laplacian perturbed by gradient operators. Derivatives of stable densities have been considered in [19] and [21].

Our main goal are the heat kernel estimates for a class of stable–dominated Feller semigroups. The name *stable– dominated* refers to the inequality (A.1).

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto [0,\infty]$ be a Borel function. We consider the following conditions on f.

(A.1) There exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$f(x,y) \le \frac{M}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\, y \ne x.$$

(A.2) $f(x,x+h) = f(x,x-h)$ for all $x,h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, or $\alpha < 1$.

(A.3) $f(x,y) = f(y,x)$ for all $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(A.4) There exists $a > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y-x|>\varepsilon} f(x,y)\, dy \ge a\varepsilon^{-\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

We denote

$$b_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{|y-x|>\varepsilon} f(x,y)\,dy, \quad \varepsilon > 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

It follows from (A.1) that there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$\bar{b}_\varepsilon := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} b_\varepsilon(x) \le A\varepsilon^{-\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \tag{2}$$

Thus, (A.4) is a partial converse of (A.1) and we have

$$\underline{b}_\varepsilon := \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} b_\varepsilon(x) \ge a\varepsilon^{-\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$ we let $B(x,r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y-x| < r\}$. $B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the set of bounded Borel measurable functions, $C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the set of k times continuously differentiable functions with compact support and $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of continuous functions vanishing at infinity. We use c, C (with subscripts) to denote finite positive constants which depend only on the constants α, M, a, and the dimension d. Any *additional* dependence is explicitly indicated by writing, e.g., $c = c(n)$. The value of c, C, when used without subscripts, may change from place to place. We write $f(x) \approx g(x)$ to indicate that there is a constant c such that $c^{-1}f(x) \le g(x) \le cf(x)$.

Assuming (A.1) and (A.2) we may consider the operator

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}\varphi(x) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \int_{|y-x|>\varepsilon} (\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))\, f(x,y)\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\varphi(x+h)-\varphi(x)-h\cdot\nabla\varphi(x)\mathbf{1}_{|h|<1}\right) f(x,x+h)\,dh \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_{|h|<1} h\cdot\nabla\varphi(x)\,(f(x,x+h)-f(x,x-h))\,dh, \quad \varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d).
\end{aligned}$$

We record the following basic fact (we postpone the proof to Section 2).

LEMMA 1 *If (A.1), (A.2) hold and the function $x \to f(x,y)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{y\}$ for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ then $\mathcal{A}$ maps $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

In the following we always assume that the condition (A.1) is satisfied. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we denote

$$f_\varepsilon(x,y) = \mathbf{1}_{B(0,\varepsilon)^c}(y-x)f(x,y), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi(x) = \int (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))\, f_\varepsilon(x,y)\, dy, \quad \varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We note that the operators $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ are bounded since $|\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi(x)| \le 2\|\varphi\|_\infty b_\varepsilon(x) \le 2\|\varphi\|_\infty \bar{b}_\varepsilon$. Therefore the operator

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^n}{n!}, \quad t \ge 0,\, \varepsilon > 0,$$

is well–defined and bounded: $B_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \to B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the family of operators $\{e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon},\, t \le 0\}$ is a semigroup on $B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e., $e^{(t+s)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} = e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} e^{s\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}$ for all $t, s \ge 0$, $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We note that $e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}$ is positive for all $t \ge 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ (see (7)).

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1 *If (A.1) – (A.4) are satisfied then there exists a constant* C *such that for every nonnegative* $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$ *we have*

(3)

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) \le C \int \varphi(y) \min\left(t^{-d/\alpha}, \frac{t}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}\right) dy + e^{-tb_\varepsilon(x)}\varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We will prove Theorem 1 in Section 2 after a sequence of lemmas. To study a limiting semigroup we will use additional assumptions.

(A.5) The function $x \to f(x,y)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{y\}$ for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(A.6) $\mathcal{A}$ regarded as an operator on $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is closable and its closure $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is a generator of a strongly continuous contraction semigroup of operators $\{P_t,\, t \ge 0\}$ on $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We note that the operator $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies *the positive maximum principle*, i.e., if for $\varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) = \varphi(x_0) \ge 0$ then $\mathcal{A}\varphi(x_0) \le 0$. This yields that P_t is positive operator for each $t \ge 0$ (see Theorem 1.2.12 and Theorem 4.2.2 in [8]). Thus, by our assumptions, $\{P_t,\, t \ge 0\}$ is *a Feller semigroup.*

In Section 2 we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *If (A.1)–(A.6) hold then there is* $p : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$ *such that*

$$P_t\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(y)p(t, x, y)\, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,\, t > 0,\, \varphi \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and

$$p(t, x, y) \le C \min\left(t^{-d/\alpha}, \frac{t}{|y - x|^{\alpha+d}}\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\, t > 0, \tag{4}$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

We note that $\mathcal{A}$ is conservative meaning that for $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $0 \le \phi \le 1$, $\phi(0) = 1$, and $\phi_k(x) = \phi(x/k)$, we have $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \|\mathcal{A}\phi_k\|_\infty < \infty$, and $\lim_{k\to\infty}(\mathcal{A}\phi_k)(x) = 0$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. It follows from Theorem 4.2.7 in [8] that there exists a Markov process $\{X_t,\, t \ge 0\}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_t)|X_0 = x] = P_t\varphi(x)$.

We recall that every generator G of a Feller semigroup, such that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{D}(G)$ is necessarily of the form

$$\begin{aligned} G\varphi(x) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} q_{ij}(x)D_{x_i}D_{x_j}\varphi(x) + l(x)\nabla\varphi(x) - c(x)\varphi(x) \\ &\quad + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\varphi(x + h) - \varphi(x) - h \cdot \nabla\varphi(x)\, \mathbf{1}_{|h|<1}\right)\, \nu(x, dh)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $q(x) = (q_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n$ is a nonnegative definite real symmetric matrix, the vector $l(x) = (l_i(x))_{i=1}^d$ has real coordinates, $c(x) \ge 0$, and $\nu(x, \cdot)$ is a Lévy measure. This description is due to Courrége, see [16, Chapter 4.5].

The problem whether a given operator G generates a Feller semigroup is not completely resolved yet. For the interested reader we remark that criteria are given, e.g., in [12, 13, 14, 15, 17]. Generally, smoothness of the coefficients q, l, c, ν in (5) is sufficient for the existence . In particular the next lemma follows from Theorem 5.24 in [11] (see also Theorem 4.6.7 in [18]). We omit the proof as it is a straightforward verification of the assumptions given there.

Lemma 2 *Let* m *be a smallest integer such that* $m > \max(\frac{d}{\alpha}, 2) + d$ *and define* $k = 2m + 1 - d$. *Assume that* *(i) there exist a cone* $\emptyset \neq V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *and a constant* c *such that*

$$c^{-1}\mathbf{1}_V(h)|h|^{-\alpha-d} \le f(x,x+h) \le c|h|^{-\alpha-d}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,\, h \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\},$$

(ii)

$$f(x,x+h) = f(x,x-h), \quad x,h \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

(iii) for every $h \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ *the function* $g_h(x) = f(x,x+h)$ *is* k*-times continuously differentiable and*

$$|\partial_x^\beta g_h(x)| \le c|h|^{-\alpha-d}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,\, h \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\},$$

for each $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $|\beta| \le k$.

Then $\mathcal{A}$ *has an extension that generates a Feller semigroup, i.e., the condition (A.6) is satisfied.*

For the stable – like case more recent results are given by R. Schilling and T. Uemura in [20]. In particular it follows from Corollary 6.4 in [20] that if $f(x,y) \approx |y-x|^{-\alpha-d}$ for $|y-x| \le 1$, $0 \le f(x,y) \le c|y-x|^{-\gamma-d}$ for $|y-x| > 1$ and some $\gamma > 0$, and the function $(x,y) \to \log f(x,y)/\log|y-x|$ is Lipschitz continuous, then $\mathcal{A}$ generates a Feller semigroup.

Z.-Q. Chen and T. Kumagai in [5] and [6] investigate the case of symmetric jump–type Markov processes on metric measure spaces by using the Dirichlet form. They prove the existence and obtain estimates of the densities (see Theorem 1.2 in [6]) analogous to (4). The jump kernels in [5] and [6] are assumed to be *comparable* with certain rotation invariant functions. In Theorem 2 we assume the estimate (A.1) from above but we use (A.4) as the only estimate for the size of f from below. This is the main novelty as far as the class of jump kernels is considered. We also propose a new technique of estimating the semigroups $\{e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon},\, t \ge 0\}$, which may be of more general interest. We note that an alternative approximation scheme is given in [4]. We also note that the estimate (4) can not be generally improved as seen in the case of Lévy processes (see [3], [24], [22]). In fact, if $\bar{\nu}(dy) = g(y/|y|)|y|^{-\alpha-d}dy$ and g is continuous on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}$ we have $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{d+\alpha}p(1,0,r\theta) = cg(\theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{S}$. If $g(\theta) = 0$ then $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{d+2\alpha}p(1,0,r\theta) = c_\theta > 0$, as proved by J. Dziubański in [7].

2 Approximation

We first observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi(x) &= \int (\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))\, f_\varepsilon(x,y)\, dy + (\bar b_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)) \int (\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))\delta_x(dy) \\
&= \int (\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))\tilde\nu_\varepsilon(x,dy) \qquad (6) \\
&= \Gamma_\varepsilon\varphi(x) - \bar b_\varepsilon\varphi(x), \quad \varphi\in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde\nu_\varepsilon(x,dy) = f_\varepsilon(x,y)\, dy + (\bar b_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x))\delta_x(dy),$$

and

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon\varphi(x) = \int \varphi(y)\tilde\nu_\varepsilon(x,dy), \quad \varphi\in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

This yields that

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) = e^{t(\Gamma_\varepsilon - \bar b_\varepsilon I)}\varphi(x) = e^{-t\bar b_\varepsilon}e^{t\Gamma_\varepsilon}\varphi(x). \tag{7}$$

A consequence of (7) is that we may consider the operator Γ_ε and its powers instead of $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$. The fact that Γ_ε is positive enables for more precise estimates.

For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we define

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x,y) &= \int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z) f_\varepsilon(z,y)\, dz \\
&\quad + \left(\bar b_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\right) f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \;+\; \left(\bar b_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n f_\varepsilon(x,y),
\end{aligned}$$

where we let $f_{1,\varepsilon} = f_\varepsilon$. By induction and Fubini–Tonelli theorem we get

$$\int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y)\, dy = \bar b_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar b_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\ , \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ n\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{8}$$

Lemma 3 *For all $\varepsilon>0$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, and $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon^n\varphi(x) = \int \varphi(z) f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z)\, dz + \left(\bar b_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\varphi(x), \quad \varphi\in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{9}$$

Proof. We use induction. For $n = 1$ we have (9) from the definition of Γ_ε. Let us assume that (9) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using Fubini's theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_\varepsilon^{n+1}\varphi(x) &= \int \Gamma_\varepsilon\varphi(z) f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z)\,dz + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n \Gamma_\varepsilon\varphi(x) \\
&= \int \left(\int \varphi(y) f_\varepsilon(z,y)\,dy + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(z)\right)\varphi(z) \right) f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z)\,dz \\
&\quad + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n \left(\int \varphi(y) f_\varepsilon(x,y)\,dy + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)\varphi(x) \right) \\
&= \int \varphi(z) f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x,z)\,dz + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^{n+1} \varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma is a key observation in our development. The significance of the inequality (10) is that on the right hand side we obtain precisely $b_\varepsilon(y)|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}$. One can interpret (10) as subharmonicity of the function $\varphi(z) = \mathbf{1}_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)}(z)|z-x|^{-\alpha-d}$ at y with respect to all operators $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 4 *If (A.1), (A.2) and (A.4) hold then there exists* $\kappa \in (0,1)$ *such that*

$$\int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} |z-x|^{-\alpha-d} f_\varepsilon(y,z)\,dz \le b_\varepsilon(y)|y-x|^{-\alpha-d} \tag{10}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. We fix $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and let $\phi(z) = |y-x|^{\alpha+d}|z-x|^{-\alpha-d}$, $|z-x| > 0$. We have $\phi(y) = 1$,

$$\partial_j \phi(z) = (\alpha+d)|y-x|^{\alpha+d}|z-x|^{-\alpha-d-2}(x_j - z_j), \tag{11}$$

and

$$\partial_{j,k}\phi(z) = (\alpha+d)|y-x|^{\alpha+d}|z-x|^{-\alpha-d-2}\left[(\alpha+d+2)\frac{(x_j-z_j)(x_k-z_k)}{|x-z|^2} - \delta_{jk}\right].$$

This yields

$$\sup_{\substack{z\in B(y,\kappa|y-x|),\\ j,k\in\{1,\ldots,d\}}} |\partial_{j,k}\phi(z)| \le (\alpha+d)(\alpha+d+3)(1-\kappa)^{-\alpha-d-2}|y-x|^{-2}, \tag{12}$$

for every $\kappa \in (0,1)$. Using the Taylor expansion for ϕ, (11) and (12) in the second inequality below, (A.1) and (A.2) in the third, and (A.4) in the forth we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} \left[1 - |y-x|^{\alpha+d}|z-x|^{-\alpha-d}\right] f_\varepsilon(y,z)\, dz \right| \\
= & \left| \int_{B(0,\kappa|y-x|)} (\phi(y) - \phi(y+h))\, f_\varepsilon(y,y+h)\, dh \right| \\
\le & \left| \int_{B(0,\kappa|y-x|)} (\nabla\phi(y)\cdot h + \phi(y) - \phi(y+h))\, f_\varepsilon(y,y+h)\, dh \right| \\
& + \left| \int_{B(0,\kappa|y-x|)} \nabla\phi(y)\cdot h \frac{f_\varepsilon(y,y-h) - f_\varepsilon(y,y+h)}{2}\, dh \right| \\
\le & \; c_1(1-\kappa)^{-\alpha-d-2}|y-x|^{-2} \int_{B(0,\kappa|y-x|)} |h|^2 f(y,y+h)\, dh \\
& + c_2|y-x|^{-1} \int_{B(0,\kappa|y-x|)} |h|\, |f_\varepsilon(y,y-h) - f_\varepsilon(y,y+h)|\; dh \\
\le & \; c_3|y-x|^{-\alpha}\kappa^{1-\alpha}\left(\kappa(1-\kappa)^{-\alpha-d-2} + 1\right) \le b_{\kappa|y-x|}(y),
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small $\kappa = \kappa(\alpha, d)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} |z-x|^{-\alpha-d} f_\varepsilon(y,z)\, dz \\
\le & \left| \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} \left[1 - |y-x|^{\alpha+d}|z-x|^{-\alpha-d}\right] f_\varepsilon(y,z)\, dz \right| |y-x|^{-\alpha-d} \\
& + \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} f_\varepsilon(y,z)\, dz\, |y-x|^{-\alpha-d} \\
\le & \; b_\varepsilon(y)|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We can now obtain estimates of $f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y)$. The first one is an adequate description of the decay rate at infinity while the next two give global bounds.

Lemma 5 *If (A.1) – (A.4) hold then there exists a constant C such that*

$$f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \le Cn\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n-1}|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ \varepsilon > 0,\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{13}$$

Proof. We use induction. For $n = 1$ the inequality (13) holds with $C = M$. Let $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ be such that (10) is satisfied. We will prove that (13) holds with $C = M\kappa^{-\alpha-d}$. We have

$$\int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x, z) f_\varepsilon(z, y)\, dz = \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)^c} + \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} = I + II.$$

By (A.1) and (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)^c} f_{n,\varepsilon}(x, z) f_\varepsilon(z, y)\, dz \\ &\le \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)^c} f_{n,\varepsilon}(x, z) \frac{M}{|y - z|^{\alpha+d}}\, dz \\ &\le \frac{M}{\kappa^{\alpha+d}|y - x|^{\alpha+d}} \int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x, z)\, dz \\ &= \frac{M}{\kappa^{\alpha+d}|y - x|^{\alpha+d}} \left[\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the symmetry of f (see (A.3)), induction and Lemma 4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} f_{n,\varepsilon}(x, z) f_\varepsilon(z, y)\, dz \\ &\le Cn\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} |z - x|^{-\alpha-d} f_\varepsilon(z, y)\, dz \\ &= Cn\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} |z - x|^{-\alpha-d} f_\varepsilon(y, z)\, dz \\ &\le Cn\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n-1} b_\varepsilon(y)|y - x|^{-\alpha-d}. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x, y) &= I + II + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\right) f_{n,\varepsilon}(x, y) + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n f_\varepsilon(x, y) \\ &\le M\kappa^{-\alpha-d}|y - x|^{-\alpha-d} \left[\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\right] + Cn\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n-1} b_\varepsilon(y)|y - x|^{-\alpha-d} \\ &\quad + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\right) Cn\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n-1}|y - x|^{-\alpha-d} + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n M|y - x|^{-\alpha-d} \\ &< C(n + 1)\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n|y - x|^{-\alpha-d}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6 *Assume (A.1), (A.3) and (A.4). Then there exists* C *such that*

$$f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \le C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{d/\alpha}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\right), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ \varepsilon > 0,\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ by (A.1) and (A.4) we have

$$f_\varepsilon(x,y) \le M\varepsilon^{-\alpha-d} \le M\left(\frac{b_\varepsilon(x)}{a}\right)^{(\alpha+d)/\alpha} \le M\left(\frac{b_\varepsilon(x)}{a}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{b}_\varepsilon}{a}\right)^{d/\alpha},$$

and so (14) holds with $C = Ma^{-d/\alpha-1}$. Let (14) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $C = Ma^{-d/\alpha-1}$. By induction and the symmetry of f_ε we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x,y) &\le C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{d/\alpha}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\right)\left(\int f_\varepsilon(y,z)\,dz + \bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{d/\alpha} b_\varepsilon(x) \\ &= C(\bar{b}_\varepsilon)^{d/\alpha}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+1} - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^{n+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 7 *If (A.1), (A.3) and (A.4) are satisfied then there exists* C *such that*

$$f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \le C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ \varepsilon > 0,\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{15}$$

Proof. We may choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(1 - a/A)^n (n+1)^{d/\alpha} < \frac{1}{n+1} \tag{16}$$

for every $n \ge n_0$. For $n \le n_0$ by Lemma 6 we have

$$f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \le c_1\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{d/\alpha}\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n \le c_1\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} n_0^{d/\alpha},$$

which yields the inequality (15) with $C = c_1 n_0^{d/\alpha}$ in this case. For $n \ge n_0$ we use induction. Let

$$p = \frac{d\,2^{\max(d/\alpha,1)-1}}{\alpha}, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \left(\frac{(a/A)^2}{2(1+p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

We assume that (15) holds for some $n \geq n_0$ with $C = \max(c_1 n_0^{d/\alpha}, M\eta^{-\alpha-d}a^{-1-d/\alpha})$. We have

$$\int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z) f_\varepsilon(z,y)\,dz = \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})^c} + \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})} = I + II.$$

By (A.1), (A.4) and (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})^c} f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z) f_\varepsilon(z,y)\,dz \\ &\leq M \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})^c} f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z)|y-z|^{-\alpha-d}\,dz \\ &\leq M\eta^{-\alpha-d}\varepsilon^{-\alpha-d}(n+1)^{-1-d/\alpha} \int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z)\,dz \\ &\leq M\eta^{-\alpha-d}a^{-1-d/\alpha}\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-1-d/\alpha}\left[\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\right]. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, the symmetry of f_ε, (2) and (A.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})} f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z) f_\varepsilon(z,y)\,dz \\ &\leq C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})} f_\varepsilon(y,z)\,dz \\ &= C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha}\left(b_\varepsilon(y) - b_{\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha}}(y)\right) \\ &\leq C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} b_\varepsilon(y)\left(1 - \frac{a\eta^{-\alpha}}{A(n+1)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (16) we also have

$$\left(1 - \frac{b_\varepsilon(x)}{\bar{b}_\varepsilon}\right)^n (n+1)^{d/\alpha} \leq (1 - a/A)^n (n+1)^{d/\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{n+1}. \tag{17}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x,y) &= I + II + \big(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\big)\, f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) + \big(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\big)^n f_\varepsilon(x,y) \\
&\le C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-1-d/\alpha}\left[\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \big(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\big)^n\right] \\
&\quad + C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} b_\varepsilon(y)\left(1 - \frac{a\eta^{-\alpha}}{A(n+1)}\right) \\
&\quad + C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha}\big(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\big) \\
&\quad + C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{1+d/\alpha}\big(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\big)^n \\
&= C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-d/\alpha}\left[\frac{1}{n+1}\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{b_\varepsilon(x)}{\bar{b}_\varepsilon}\right)^n\right)\right. \\
&\quad - \frac{b_\varepsilon(y)}{\bar{b}_\varepsilon}\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{d/\alpha}\frac{a\eta^{-\alpha}}{A(n+1)} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{d/\alpha} \\
&\quad \left. + \left(1 - \frac{b_\varepsilon(x)}{\bar{b}_\varepsilon}\right)^n (n+1)^{d/\alpha}\right] \\
&\le C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-d/\alpha}\left[\frac{2}{n+1} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{d/\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{\eta^{-\alpha}(a/A)^2}{n+1}\right)\right] \\
&\le C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-d/\alpha}\left[\frac{2}{n+1} + \left(1 + \frac{p}{n}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\eta^{-\alpha}(a/A)^2}{n+1}\right)\right] \\
&\le C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-d/\alpha}\left[1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\left(\eta^{-\alpha}(a/A)^2 - 2 - 2p\right)\right] \\
&= C\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-d/\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from (2), (A.4) and (17). □

Using the above lemmas we may estimate Γ_ε^n and in consequence also the exponent operator $e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} = e^{-t\bar{b}_\varepsilon} e^{t\Gamma_\varepsilon}$.

Lemma 8 *Assume (A.1) – (A.4). There exists a constant C such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all nonnegative $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $x \notin \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$ we have*

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) \le Ct\int \varphi(z)|z-x|^{-\alpha-d}\,dz, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \tag{18}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3 and Lemma 5 for every φ such that $x \notin \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$ we get

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon^n \varphi(x) \le \int \varphi(y) C n \bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n-1}|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}\,dy,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) &\le Ce^{-t\bar{b}_\varepsilon}\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{t^n n\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n-1}}{n!}\int \varphi(y)|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}\,dy \\ &= Ce^{-t\bar{b}_\varepsilon}t\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{t^n\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n}{n!}\int \varphi(y)|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}\,dy \\ &= Ct\int \varphi(y)|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}\,dy. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma seems to be known. We include here the proof for reader's convenience.

Lemma 9 *For every $p \in [0,\infty)$ exists a constant $C = C(p)$ such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{x^{n+p}}{n!n^p} \le C(e^x - 1), \quad x > 0. \tag{19}$$

Proof. For $p \in [0,1]$ we have by Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(x/n)^p x^n}{n!} &\le \frac{e^x-1}{(e^x-1)^p}\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{x^{n+1}}{n\cdot n!}\right)^p \\ &= \frac{e^x-1}{(e^x-1)^p}\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^p \\ &\le 2^p(e^x-1), \quad x>0. \end{aligned}$$

For $p \ge 1$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{x^{n+p}}{n!n^p} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^x \frac{u^{n+p-1}}{n!n^{p-1}}\,du\frac{n+p}{n} \le (p+1)\int_0^x \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{u^{n+p-1}}{n!n^{p-1}}\,du,$$

and the Lemma follows by induction. □

Lemma 10 *Assume (A.1), (A.3) and (A.4). Then there exists C such that for every nonnegative $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)\cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have*

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) \le Ct^{-d/\alpha}\int \varphi(y)\,dy + e^{-tb_\varepsilon(x)}\varphi(x), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ \varepsilon>0, t>0. \tag{20}$$

Proof. By Lemma 7 for every $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we get

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon^n \varphi(x) \le c(\bar{b}_\varepsilon)^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} \int \varphi(y)\,dy + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n \varphi(x),$$

and by (19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) &\le e^{-t\bar{b}_\varepsilon}\left[c\int \varphi(y)\,dy \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+d/\alpha}}{n! n^{d/\alpha}} + e^{t\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)}\varphi(x)\right] \\ &\le ct^{-d/\alpha}\int \varphi(y)\,dy + e^{-tb_\varepsilon(x)}\varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof. of Theorem 1. Let $t > 0$, $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Using Lemma 8 for $\mathbf{1}_{B(x,t^{1/\alpha})^c}\varphi$ and Lemma 10 for $\mathbf{1}_{B(x,t^{1/\alpha})}\varphi$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) &= e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}[\mathbf{1}_{B(x,t^{1/\alpha})^c}\varphi](x) + e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}[\mathbf{1}_{B(x,t^{1/\alpha})}\varphi](x) \\ &\le c\left[\int_{B(x,t^{1/\alpha})^c} \varphi(y) t|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}\,dy + \int_{B(x,t^{1/\alpha})} \varphi(y) t^{-d/\alpha}\,dy\right] \\ &\quad + e^{-tb_\varepsilon(x)}\varphi(x) \\ &\le c\int \varphi(y)\min(t^{-d/\alpha}, t|y-x|^{-\alpha-d})\,dy + e^{-tb_\varepsilon(x)}\varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

□

We prove now that the continuity of the jump intensity $f(x,y)$ yields a regularity of $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$.

LEMMA 11 *If (A.5) holds then for all* $\varepsilon > 0$ *the operator* $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ *maps* $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *into* $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\eta > 0$. We choose $r > 0$ such that $|\varphi(y)| \le \frac{\eta\varepsilon^\alpha}{4A}$ for $|y| \ge r$, and using (A.1) and (2), for $|x| > r$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi(x)| &\le \int_{|y|<r} |\varphi(y)|\, f_\varepsilon(x,y)\,dy + \int_{|y|\ge r} |\varphi(y)|\, f_\varepsilon(x,y)\,dy + |\varphi(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\varepsilon(x,y)\,dy \\ &\le M\|\varphi\|_\infty \int_{|y|<r} |y-x|^{-\alpha-d}\,dy + \frac{\eta\varepsilon^\alpha}{4A} 2b_\varepsilon(x) \\ &\le c_1\|\varphi\|_\infty (|x|-r)^{-\alpha-d} r^d + \frac{\eta\varepsilon^\alpha}{4A} 2A\varepsilon^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

For $|x| > r + (2c_1 r^d \|\varphi\|_\infty \eta^{-1})^{1/(\alpha+d)}$ we obtain $|\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \varphi(x)| < \eta$. This yields $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \varphi(x) = 0$.

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For $|x - x_0| < \varepsilon/2$, and all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(x,y) &\leq M\left[\max(\varepsilon, |y-x|)\right]^{-\alpha-d} \leq M\left[\max(\varepsilon, |y-x_0| - \varepsilon/2)\right]^{-\alpha-d} \\ &\leq M 2^{\alpha+d} \left(|y-x_0| + \varepsilon/2\right)^{-\alpha-d}. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of $x \to f(x,y)$ and the dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \int |f_\varepsilon(x,y) - f_\varepsilon(x_0,y)|\, dy = 0.$$

It follows that $b_\varepsilon(x)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Consequently for every $\varphi \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \varphi(x) - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \varphi(x_0)| &\leq \int |\varphi(y)|\, |f_\varepsilon(x,y) - f_\varepsilon(x_0,y)|\, dy \\ &\quad + |\varphi(x) b_\varepsilon(x) - \varphi(x_0) b_\varepsilon(x_0)| \to 0, \text{ as } x \to x_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \varphi \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. □

We note that the operators $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ approximate $\mathcal{A}$.

LEMMA 12 *If (A.2) is satisfied then for all $\varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|\mathcal{A}\varphi - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \varphi\|_\infty = 0$$

Proof. For all $\varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \varphi(x) &= \int (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))\, f_\varepsilon(x,y)\, dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) - \mathbf{1}_{B(0,1)}(h) h \cdot \nabla\varphi(x)\big)\, f_\varepsilon(x, x+h)\, dh \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{|h|<1} h \cdot \nabla\varphi(x) \left(f_\varepsilon(x,x+h) - f_\varepsilon(x,x-h)\right) dh. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it follows from (A.1) and (A.2) that

$$\int_{|h|<\varepsilon} |h| |f(x,x+h) - f(x,x-h)|\, dh \leq c\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \max(1-\alpha, 0). \tag{21}$$

Using Taylor's expansion for φ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}\varphi(x) - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi(x)| &\leq \int_{|h|<\varepsilon} |\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) - h \cdot \nabla\varphi(x)| \, f(x, x+h)\, dh \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\varphi(x)| \int_{|h|<\varepsilon} |h| \, |f(x,x+h) - f(x,x-h)| \, dh \\
&\leq c \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d, |\beta|\leq 2} |\partial^\beta \varphi(y)| \left(\int_{|h|<\varepsilon} |h|^{2-\alpha-d}\, dh \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{|h|<\varepsilon} |h| \, |f(x,x+h) - f(x,x-h)| \, dh \right) \\
&\leq c \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d, |\beta|\leq 2} |\partial^\beta \varphi(y)| \left(\varepsilon^{2-\alpha} + \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \max(1-\alpha, 0) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which yields $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|\mathcal{A}\varphi - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi\|_\infty = 0$. □

We are ready now to prove Lemma 1.

Proof. of Lemma 1. The continuity of $\mathcal{A}\varphi$ for $\varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ follows from Lemma 11 and Lemma 12. For $\varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\eta > 0$ we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|\mathcal{A}\varphi - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi\|_\infty < \eta/2$ and $r > 0$ such that $|\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi(x)| < \eta/2$ for all $|x| > r$. We obtain $|\mathcal{A}\varphi(x)| \leq \|\mathcal{A}\varphi - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi\|_\infty + |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi(x)| < \eta$, for all $|x| > r$. □

Proof. of Theorem 2. By Lemma 12 we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|\mathcal{A}\varphi - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi\|_\infty = 0$$

for every $\varphi \in C_\infty^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. A closure of $\mathcal{A}$ is a generator of a semigroup and from the Hille-Yosida theorem it follows that the range of $\lambda - \mathcal{A}$ is dense in $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and therefore by Theorem 5.2 in [23] (see also [10]) we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \|e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi - P_t\varphi\|_\infty = 0,$$

for every $\varphi \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Theorem 1 this yields

$$P_t\varphi(x) \leq c_1 \int \varphi(z) \min\left(t^{-d/\alpha}, \frac{t}{|z-x|^{\alpha+d}} \right) dz,$$

for every nonnegative $\varphi \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. □

References

[1] Blumenthal, R. M., Getoor, R. K.: Some theorems on stable processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95, 263–273 (1960).

[2] Bogdan, K., Jakubowski, T.: Estimates of heat kernel of fractional Laplacian perturbed by gradient operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 271 (1), 179–198 (2007).

[3] Bogdan, K., Sztonyk, P.: Estimates of potential kernel and Harnack's inequality for anisotropic fractional Laplacian. *Stud. Math.* 181, No. 2, 101-123 (2007).

[4] Böttcher, B., Schilling, R. L.: Approximation of Feller processes by Markov chains with Lévy increments. *Stoch. Dyn.* 9, No. 1, 71-80 (2009).

[5] Chen, Z.-Q., Kumagai, T.: Heat kernel estimates for stable-like processes on d-sets. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 108, no. 1, 27–62 (2003).

[6] Chen, Z.-Q., Kumagai, T.: Heat kernel estimates for jump processes of mixed types on metric measure spaces. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 140, No. 1-2, 277-317 (2008).

[7] Dziubański, J.: Asymptotic behaviour of densities of stable semigroups of measures. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 87, 459-467 (1991).

[8] Ethier, S. N., Kurtz, T. G.: Markov processes - characterization and convergence. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley, New York - Chicester - Brisbane - Toronto - Singapore (1986).

[9] Głowacki, P., Hebisch, W.: Pointwise estimates for densities of stable semigroups of measures. *Studia Math.* 104, 243-258 (1993).

[10] Hasegawa, M.: A Note on the Convergence of Semi-groups of Operators. *Proc. Japan Acad.* 40, 262–266 (1964).

[11] Hoh, W.: Pseudo differential operators generating Markov processes. Habilitationsschrift, Universität Bielefeld 1998.

[12] Hoh, W.: The martingale problem for a class of pseudo differential operators. *Math. Ann.* 300, 121–147 (1994).

[13] Hoh, W.: A symbolic calculus for pseudo differential operators generating Feller semigroups. *Osaka J. Math.* 35, 798–820 (1998).

[14] Jacob, N.: Feller semigroups, Dirichlet forms and pseudo differential operators. *Forum Math.* 4, 433–446 (1992).

[15] Jacob, N.: A class of Feller semigroups generated by pseudo differential operators. *Math. Z.* 215, 151–166 (1994).

[16] Jacob, N.: Pseudo differential operators and Markov processes. Vol. I : Fourier analysis and semigroups. Imperial College Press, London (2001).

[17] Jacob, N.: Pseudo-Differential Operators and Markov Processes, Vol. 2 : Generators and Their Potential Theory. Imperial College Press, London (2002).

[18] Jacob, N.: Pseudo-Differential Operators and Markov Processes, Vol. 3 : Markov Processes and Applications. Imperial College Press, London (2005).

[19] Lewandowski, M. Point regularity of p-stable density in $\mathcal{R}^d$ and Fisher information. *Probab. Math. Stat.* 19, No.2, 375-388 (1999).

[20] Schilling, R. L., Uemura, T.: On the Feller property of Dirichlet forms generated by pseudo differential operators. *Tohoku Math. J.* (2) 59, no. 3, 401–422 (2007).

[21] Sztonyk, P.: Regularity of harmonic functions for anisotropic fractional Laplacians. *Math. Nach.*, to appear (`http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/arxiv/pdf/0706/0706.0413v1.pdf`).

[22] Sztonyk, P.: Estimates of tempered stable densities, *J. Theor. Probab.*, to appear.

[23] Trotter, H. F.: Approximation of semi-groups of operators. *Pacific J. Math.* 8 (1958), 887–919.

[24] Watanabe, T.: Asymptotic estimates of multi-dimensional stable densities and their applications. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 359, No. 6, 2851-2879 (2007).